Addressing complexity in motor learning: Practical strategies for teachers and coaches

American Journal of Creative Education
Vol. 7, No. 1, 1-13, 2024
e-ISSN: 2706-6088

Ferdinando Cereda

Department of Education, The Catholic University of the Sacred Heart in Milan, Italy.
Email: ferdinando.cereda@unicatt.it

ABSTRACT

This research embarks on an exploration delving into the intricacies of motor skill acquisition and its versatile application across various contexts. Its core objective resides in fostering a robust discourse within this domain. Emphasizing the qualitative dimension of mastering motor skills underscores an urgent need for evidence-backed teaching methodologies that boast universal applicability. In the realms of physical education and sports, a profound comprehension of fundamental theoretical frameworks stands as a pivotal requirement to facilitate effective pedagogy. The continuous evolution of innovative teaching approaches within motor and sports education accentuates the necessity for a meticulous examination of the foundational theoretical underpinnings supporting these advancements. The principal aim of this investigation primarily revolves around distilling the fundamental principles embedded within the ecological-dynamic approach to learning. Furthermore, it endeavors to illuminate actionable directives tailored for instructional purposes. These directives aspire to cultivate adaptive learning environments conducive to the acquisition of motor and sports skills, prioritizing flexibility over rigidity and nurturing an environment that encourages perpetual learning experiences. To achieve this ambitious goal, an exhaustive scrutiny of recent literature has been undertaken, placing significant emphasis on notable contributions propelling the ongoing discourse forward. This comprehensive review endeavors to enrich the dialogue surrounding evidence-based teaching methodologies within the realm of motor skill acquisition and sports education.

Keywords: *Ecological-dynamic approach, Learning environments, Motor skills acquisition, Sports education, Teaching methodologies, Nonlinear pedagogy.*

DOI: 10.55284/ajce.v7i1.1022
Citation | Cereda , F. (2024). Addressing complexity in motor learning: Practical strategies for teachers and coaches. *American Journal of Creative Education*, 7(1), 1–13.

Funding: This study received no specific financial support.
Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.
Transparency: The author confirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study; that no vital features of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained. This study followed all ethical practices during writing.
Competing Interests: The author declares that there are no conflicts of interests regarding the publication of this paper.
History: Received: 28 November 2023/ Revised: 11 January 2024/ Accepted: 25 January 2024/ Published: 6 February 2024
Publisher: Online Science Publishing

Highlights of this paper

- The paper explores the nonlinear pedagogy in motor learning and skill acquisition, challenging the traditional belief in a linear relationship between practice volume and skill mastery.
- It emphasizes the importance of the learning environment and the interaction between the individual, the environment, and the task at hand in shaping motor behavior.
- The paper also highlights the role of play and exploration in the acquisition of motor literacy, and discusses the shift from traditional, structured training to more flexible and adaptive learning environments that encourage problem-solving and adaptability.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the vast landscape of sports education and physical training, delving into the intricacies of motor skill acquisition becomes not just a scholarly pursuit but a critical exploration that reverberates across various disciplines. At the heart of this inquiry lies the profound impact on emerging talents, shaping the trajectory of budding sports enthusiasts. As Davids, Rothwell, Hydes, Robinson, and Davids (2023) illuminate, understanding the nuanced learning mechanisms embedded in mastering motor skills holds the key to nurturing athletic capabilities and fostering excellence in sports.

This realm of inquiry extends its tendrils into the professional domains of physical education, coaching, training, and sports science, forming a nexus of knowledge with profound implications. Scholars such as Di Domenico, D'Isanto, Esposito, Aliberti, and Raiola (2023); Espoz-Lazo et al. (2023) and Ribas et al. (2023) underscore the far-reaching consequences of research in this domain, emphasizing its role in providing guidance to instructors, coaches, trainers, and sports scientists. It is within this intellectual backdrop that the ecological-dynamic approach to motor learning emerges as a beacon of contemporary and interdisciplinary theory.

Over the years, the ecological-dynamic approach has evolved into a robust framework, seeking to unravel the most effective and transferable modes of learning within the dynamic interplays of individuals and their surroundings. This theoretical perspective frames young athletes and their cohorts as intricate adaptive systems, as observed by Thonhauser (2022); Vaughan, Mallett, Potrac, López-Felip, and Davids (2021) and Vaughan et al. (2022). In this symbiotic ecosystem, individuals, teammates, adversaries, and the environment coalesce as integral components, contributing to a broader, complex framework that shapes the learning process.

Central to the ecological-dynamic paradigm is the recognition that intentional behaviors within these intertwined systems emerge through exploration and learning, gradually solidifying into functional behavioral patterns. The work of Barron, Halina, and Klein (2023) and Ginsburg and Jablonka (2021) elucidates how the interplay among various aspects of an individual's character is deliberately stimulated. Problem-solving processes, intrinsic to this approach, necessitate the central involvement of executive functions in engaging cognitive, emotional, and relational processes, as highlighted by Rebar et al. (2023) and Vásquez-Rosati, Montefusco-Siegmund, López, and Cosmelli (2019).

This study plunges into the intricate exploration of motor skill acquisition, intending to spark a meaningful dialogue that transcends disciplinary boundaries. The qualitative dimension of mastering motor skills accentuates the urgent need for evidence-based teaching methods that can be universally applied. Within the realms of physical education and sports, a comprehensive understanding of foundational theoretical frameworks emerges as imperative, acting as the bedrock for effective teaching methodologies.

The research embarks on the ambitious task of distilling the core principles of the ecological-dynamic approach to learning. Its aim is not merely theoretical; it seeks to elucidate actionable guidelines for instruction, with the overarching goal of cultivating adaptable learning environments conducive to the acquisition of motor and sports skills. Emphasizing flexibility over rigidity becomes a central tenet, aligning with the dynamic nature of the

ecological-dynamic approach. The study positions itself as a catalyst for continual learning experiences, challenging the status quo of the traditional linear approach to motor learning and skill acquisition.

Inherent in the study's significance is its contribution to the ongoing discourse on motor skill acquisition and its potential ramifications for professional guidance in the field. Physical education instructors, coaches, trainers, and sports scientists stand to benefit from the transformative insights offered by the study. By challenging traditional teaching methods and advocating for a dynamic ecological approach, the research becomes a guiding light for practitioners navigating the intricate landscape of motor learning.

The study confronts the perennial debate surrounding the dichotomy of discipline-specific versus general content in training and practice. Its stance emphasizes the need to understand the intricate relationships between different exercise types, perceptual functions, and solutions to motor problems, irrespective of the specific skill types employed by athletes. In doing so, the study advocates for an approach that prioritizes playfulness over excessive structure and flexibility over rigidity.

The emphasis on promoting ongoing exploration of the environment and its constraints or affordances adds a layer of practicality to the study's findings. It positions teachers and coaches as architects of learning environments, urging them to design spaces that leverage affordances to foster adaptive motor behaviors. This pragmatic approach offers tangible strategies to navigate the inherent complexity of motor learning, providing a roadmap for effective instructional practices.

In essence, the study's significance transcends the confines of academia. It has the potential to inform and transform the practice of motor learning and skill acquisition. At its core, the research offers a comprehensive framework that challenges entrenched teaching methods and advocates for a dynamic ecological approach. This approach, characterized by adaptability, flexibility, and the interplay between individuals and their environment, emerges as a paradigm shift in the landscape of sports education.

As the study unfolds, it beckons practitioners to reconsider established norms and embrace a holistic perspective that aligns with the dynamic nature of motor learning. It invites educators and coaches to reevaluate their roles, emphasizing not just the impartation of knowledge but the cultivation of an environment that nurtures continuous learning and adaptive skill acquisition.

The ongoing discourse sparked by this study has the potential to reshape the contours of motor learning, transcending disciplinary silos and permeating the practical realms of physical education and sports coaching. Its legacy lies not just in the knowledge it imparts but in the transformative impact it could have on the next generation of athletes and the professionals guiding their journey.

2. UNVEILING THE UNCONVENTIONAL PATH: REVAMPING MOTOR LEARNING AND SKILL ACQUISITION

The realm of motor learning and skill acquisition has long been entrenched in a linear paradigm, where the mastery of motor skills was presumed to be directly proportional to the volume of practice—an assumption challenged by the burgeoning field of nonlinear pedagogy. This educational paradigm represents a departure from the traditional beliefs associated with deliberate practice theory, gaining increasing prominence within academic discourse (Chow, Meerhoff, Choo, Button, & Tan, 2023; Cowin, Nimphius, Fell, Culhane, & Schmidt, 2022; Lee, Chow, Komar, Tan, & Button, 2014).

In this narrative, we embark on a journey that challenges preconceived notions, exploring the pivotal role played by nonlinear pedagogy in reshaping the landscape of motor learning. At its core, this paradigm challenges the conventional belief in a linear relationship between practice volume and skill mastery, introducing a dynamic

and multifaceted approach that considers the intricate interplay between the individual, the environment, and the task at hand.

In a non-linear pedagogical framework, the learning environment becomes a key influencer of motor behavior. The information perceived in this environment becomes the driving force behind the acquisition and refinement of motor skills. Emphasizing the significance of invitations and movement opportunities provided by the perception-action process, this paradigm places individual-environment-task relationships at the forefront. By doing so, it advocates for behavioral exploration, encourages interaction with tasks, and underscores the adaptive nature of individuals in response to environmental constraints.

Central to the principles of non-linear pedagogy are concepts such as situational representativeness, attentional focus, functional variability through exploratory behaviors, constraint reconfiguration, and the establishment of relevant associations between information and movement (Chow et al., 2023; Ribas et al., 2023). These principles lay the foundation for a pedagogical approach that emphasizes the contextual representation of skills, directs attentional focus, promotes functional variability through exploratory behaviors, adapts to constraints, and fosters meaningful associations between information and movement. In this context, activities like play, pre-sport, and sports games take center stage, becoming the conduits through which individuals engage in purposeful exploration and skill development.

The duration and quality of "play" emerge as significant determinants in the acquisition of motor literacy (Jeon & Jun, 2021; Tortella, Haga, Lorås, Fumagalli, & Sigmundsson, 2022). The emphasis on quality underscores the importance of how individuals, particularly children, engage with their environment and dynamically acquire movement skills. This paradigm shift challenges traditional views on the structuring of training, practice, and learning environments, raising critical questions about their conduciveness to promoting transferable skills.

An ongoing debate permeates the academic landscape, questioning the efficacy of discipline-specific versus general content in motor learning (Shadiev & Wang, 2022; Thornhill-Miller et al., 2023). The crux of the matter lies in understanding when and to what extent young athletes should engage in general motor experiences before transitioning to sport-specific paths (Davids et al., 2023; Jayanthi et al., 2022). While the debate often tilts toward specificity, the essence is rooted in comprehending the intricate relationships between different exercise types, perceptual functions, and solutions to motor problems, irrespective of the specific skill type employed by the athlete.

Traditional approaches, characterized by structured training around specific motor tasks, position coaches at the epicenter of the learning process. Coaches traditionally define tasks, methods, loads, and skill acquisition timelines within this framework. However, a paradigm shift is observed in approaches that foster explorative learning environments, where 'playing and finding solutions' assume precedence. This alternative approach focuses on the young athlete's innate quest for solutions, aligning more closely with the principles of perception and problem-solving, which are fundamental in open skills within sports disciplines (Feng, Zhang, Jin, & Shi, 2023; Heilmann, Weinberg, & Wollny, 2022).

A stark dichotomy exists between traditional cognitive-derived teaching, characterized by rapid learning timelines through extensive repetitions on a single task, and the dynamic ecological approach, which necessitates more extended, personalized timelines to accommodate task variability. In the non-linear pedagogical-didactic approach (Chow, 2013) the learning process and the execution of motor skills are perpetually shaped by the ongoing interactions among activity, environment, and the individual. This perpetual shaping generates task variability, establishing intricate links between skill ability, knowledge, and attitudes.

As we delve deeper into the realms of non-linear pedagogy, we uncover a narrative that challenges the status quo, pushing the boundaries of our understanding of motor learning and skill acquisition. The interplay between

the dynamic ecological approach and the individual's learning journey reflects a nuanced and adaptive process where the rigid constraints of traditional linear models yield to the fluidity of a nonlinear paradigm. It prompts us to reconsider the very essence of how we approach the teaching and acquisition of motor skills, advocating for a holistic understanding that extends beyond the confines of a linear trajectory.

The essence of non-linear pedagogy lies in its ability to transcend traditional boundaries, offering a comprehensive framework that acknowledges the complexity of motor learning. It urges educators, coaches, and researchers to recalibrate their perspectives, recognizing the interconnectedness of individuals, environments, and tasks. This paradigm shift extends beyond theoretical discourse; it permeates the practical domains of training, practice, and learning environment design, challenging us to reconsider the fundamental tenets of our pedagogical approaches.

In the pursuit of motor literacy, the duration and quality of play emerge as critical determinants. The shift from a quantitative emphasis on practice volume to a qualitative emphasis on dynamic interaction with the environment represents a transformative leap in our understanding of skill acquisition. It underscores the significance of creating environments that not only facilitate play but also invite individuals to explore, adapt, and find solutions. The very fabric of motor learning is rewoven, placing exploration and adaptability at its core.

The ongoing debate surrounding discipline-specific versus general content underscores the complexity inherent in guiding young athletes along their developmental trajectories. It compels us to interrogate the optimal balance between general motor experiences and sport-specific paths, challenging the binary notions that have traditionally defined this discourse. Non-linear pedagogy calls for an appreciation of the nuanced relationships between diverse exercise types, perceptual functions, and problem-solving approaches, championing an inclusive understanding that transcends rigid categorizations.

In the dichotomy between structured, task-specific training and explorative learning environments, we witness a fundamental shift in the role of coaches. Traditional coaching, with its emphasis on predefined tasks and structured methodologies, is juxtaposed against a more explorative approach where play and solution-seeking take precedence. The coach transitions from a prescriptive role to a facilitator of learning, creating an environment that nurtures the athlete's innate quest for solutions. This paradigm shift challenges the traditional linear progression of learning, introducing a nonlinear dimension that accommodates the inherent variability of skill acquisition.

The narrative of non-linear pedagogy extends beyond theoretical abstraction, permeating the practical facets of motor learning. It invites educators and coaches to reconsider the design of learning environments, urging them to move beyond the confines of rigid structures and embrace the dynamic interplay between individuals and their surroundings. The essence of non-linear pedagogy lies in its capacity to foster adaptability, flexibility, and continual learning experiences—a departure from the linear confines that have traditionally shaped our understanding of motor skill acquisition. In essence, non-linear pedagogy is a call to revolutionize the way we perceive, teach, and acquire motor skills. It challenges us to transcend the limitations of linear paradigms, inviting us to embrace the complexity, adaptability, and interconnectedness that define the dynamic landscape of motor learning. As we embark on this unconventional path, we unravel a narrative that redefines not only how we learn and teach motor skills but also how we perceive the very essence of skill acquisition itself.

3. NAVIGATING COMPLEXITY IN MOTOR LEARNING: EMBRACING A DYNAMIC ECOLOGICAL APPROACH

Navigating the intricate landscape of motor learning stands as a perpetual quest, particularly when embracing the dynamic ecological approach. This approach champions a paradigm shift in pedagogy, urging for setups that

immerse young learners in a spectrum of complexities. These setups challenge burgeoning practitioners to weave motor patterns across ever-shifting and diverse contexts, shunning the notion that environmental nuances engage learners in uniform ways. Within these nuanced environments, the execution of different actions yields distinct effects and responses, forming the cornerstone of this approach (Natsoulas, 1989; Withagen & van der Kamp, 2010).

Central to crafting learning environments conducive to nurturing essential motor skills lie the fundamental tenets of the dynamic ecological approach. This approach places an unyielding emphasis on the interplay between the environment, context, and space within motor coordination-focused learning theories. It embraces the inherent degrees of freedom within the learner-environment system, considering individual tasks and the constraints present in the environment as critical components of the learning journey.

At its core, coordination processes emerge from continual interactions that foster the adaptation of skills, forging a functional bond between youthful athletes and their performance milieu (Seifert, Hacques, & Komar, 2022). This interaction extends through affordances -specific environmental properties perceived as relevant- which beckon actions from athletes within the context in which they operate. Affordances serve as guiding lights within the complex labyrinth of perception-action processes within adaptive systems, where the influence of perception on action and its reciprocal effect remain palpable (Withagen & van der Kamp, 2010).

For professionals in sports and physical education, adopting an ecological standpoint becomes pivotal in designing learning environments. They engineer these environments to provide affordances that prompt specific actions crucial for subsequent performances, strategically integrating environmental elements to stimulate desired behaviors (Avilés, Navia, Ruiz-Pérez, & Zapatero-Ayuso, 2020; Gottwald, Davies, & Owen, 2023). This design process thrives on interdisciplinary collaboration, strategically identifying key environmental constraints that shape the learning landscape (Immonen, Brymer, Davids, & Jaakkola, 2022).

The essence of "repeating without repetition" echoes throughout this pedagogical framework, emphasizing the necessity for continual variability in learning approaches (Bernstein, 1967). Paradoxically, despite evidence displaying movement variability even in closed tasks, practical applications often witness repetitive iterations of purportedly "ideal" yet non-functional movement solutions. To break this cycle, the environment must serve as both a guide and a constraint, nurturing learning without redundantly reiterating the same movements (Lindsay, Spittle, & Spittle, 2023). Central to the efficacy of the dynamic ecological approach lies participant interaction and teaching style. It seeks to minimize prescriptive communication, favoring interrogative feedback. Instead of reprimanding, errors are celebrated as attempts to navigate toward effective solutions through the self-regulation of movement and strategic decision-making (Fievez, Derosiere, Verbruggen, & Duque, 2022). Embedded within this pedagogical transformation is an educational intervention that delineates a shift from traditional to nonlinear teaching episodes. This three-phase transition involves a teacher-led introduction of the motor task, followed by student exploration of personalized motor solutions in diverse forms and interactions. Finally, the teacher assumes a facilitative role, nurturing learning through probing questions rather than rigid guidance, thereby encouraging adaptive and flexible approaches (Phase 3). In sum, this pedagogical evolution champions an ecosystem where the environment, context, and learner interact harmoniously, fostering adaptive learning experiences that transcend conventional paradigms.

4. NAVIGATING FLEXIBILITY AND STABILITY: THE DYNAMIC ECOLOGY OF MOTOR SOLUTIONS

Navigating the intricate interplay of flexibility and stability within a dynamic ecology of motor solutions is a nuanced journey, deeply rooted in the quest for adaptive responses to the unpredictable nature of the action's

environment (Schöllhorn, Rizzi, Slapšinskaitė-Dackevičienė, & Leite, 2022). This narrative unfolds within the realm of open skills, prominently highlighted in situational sports like team sports, combat sports, and those set in natural environments (Wang et al., 2013). The perpetual demand for prompt and repeated solutions in such unpredictable settings underscores the essence of emerging flexibility in movement control.

Flexibility in this context is not a fleeting quality but a dynamic attribute that manifests over varying temporal scales. Experimental evidence attests to its presence in both short-term scales, where quick adjustments are made (Kay & Blazevich, 2012) and longer-term scales that necessitate the relearning of movement patterns or the implementation of different techniques and strategies (Cai, Liu, & Li, 2023). This temporal duality illustrates the adaptability of the motor system, showcasing its capacity to continually seek solutions while minimizing both error and effort in accomplishing a given motor task (Heilmann et al., 2022).

The discourse surrounding variability in movement often introduces the concept of movement stability or consistency. Scholars delve into the intricate relationship between movement stability and flexibility, emphasizing a non-reciprocal influence (Behm, Alizadeh, Daneshjoo, & Konrad, 2023). This relationship is multifaceted, existing at two distinct levels: task-related stability, measured by the variability in task outcomes, and movement-related stability, measured by the variability in the execution of movements. In the short term, flexibility is associated with high task-level stability and relatively low movement-level stability, allowing for the employment of multiple movement solutions. However, over longer temporal scales, such as modifying technical execution in sports gestures, flexibility contributes to increased movement-level stability of the new solution. This dynamic stabilizing effect prevents the young athlete from reverting to previous movement patterns (Christensen & Bicknell, 2022).

The robust relationships between motor solutions and environmental constraints become evident during practice. Variability emerges because of the ongoing processes of perception and anticipation, compelling young athletes to change strategies and opt for different movements, thereby adopting different strategies. Yet, it is noteworthy that adapting useful degrees of freedom can sometimes be sufficient to achieve the same movement outcome.

Crucially, the motor task's presentation through an ecological-dynamic approach, as opposed to a traditional analytical method, is instrumental in prompting movement opportunities and choices. Practically speaking, movement variability becomes the conduit for effective movement. It facilitates the adaptation of functional components that respond to environmental demands while allowing the rest of the motor pattern to remain unchanged. This nuanced perspective, advocated by scholars like Bosch (2010) and Zaragas et al. (2023) challenges the notion that identifying suitable movement for a motor situation must entail altering all movement parameters.

In the intricate dance of movement adaptation, young athletes play a pivotal role. They selectively draw from learned movements in previous situations, adapting only certain parameters based on the affordances presented by the current environment. For instance, a running technique may remain constant while adjustments are made to parameters necessary for successful running on grass, sand, irregular surfaces, or slopes.

Open-skill sports provide a canvas for young athletes to cultivate a repertoire of movements that can address a myriad of situations and problems. The inclination is toward learning movements that offer versatility across diverse contexts, as opposed to internalizing patterns that only yield success in specific motor, sporting, or tactical scenarios.

Within the framework of complex biological systems theory, the young athlete's biological system strives to acquire flexible movements that are applicable across situations and contexts. According to this perspective, movement is sustained, repeated, and executed until stability is assured. The system, in response to any compromise

in stability, even with minimal or extreme disturbances, seamlessly transitions to a new movement (Zaragas et al., 2023).

The acceptance and promotion of variability in movement are intricately tied to the assurance of stability. Stability, in turn, ensures efficiency, providing the possibility of repeating a specific movement multiple times with minimal energy expenditure. This delicate balance between flexibility and stability not only defines the effectiveness of motor solutions but also offers a profound insight into the adaptive nature of the human motor system.

5. REVAMPING SPORTS LEARNING SPACES: EMBRACING DYNAMIC ECOLOGICAL APPROACHES

In the realm of motor and sports education, the traditional dominance of analytical teaching methods has faced scrutiny, revealing its inadequacies as a sole instructional approach. This method, which revolves around specific motor tasks, often involves repetitive executions that remain stagnant. The rigidity of this teaching style, governed by the instructor's decisions on task selection, execution methods, quantity, duration, and success criteria (Salters & Scharoun Benson, 2022) constrains certain modes of student learning. This limitation inhibits the exploration of execution variations, problem-solving through practice variability, and adaptation to diverse technical-tactical scenarios that are not pre-defined.

In light of these limitations, the link between perception, environment, and solution—crucial in actual competitive contexts—faces constraints. The question then arises: what alternative methods might prove more beneficial? A transformative shift is underway, positioning physical education instructors and coaches as designers of learning environments. This transition into genuine environment designers marks a recent development (Brymer & Schweitzer, 2022).

The dynamic ecological approach, placing emphasis on the perception-action relationship, underscores the influence of the environment on young practitioners' decisions and choices (Brymer & Schweitzer, 2022; Renshaw et al., 2022). Consequently, the organization of the learning environment and the identification of functional motor tasks significantly impact learning quality, whether related to movement or specific sports techniques. Environments crafted through this methodology leverage affordances to foster sought-after or developmental motor behaviors (Stodden et al., 2021). Playtime takes precedence over exercise time, problem-solving situations increase, and simplified exercises diminish. As a result, young athletes execute technical gestures multiple times, each instance differing as they adapt flexibly to the constraints and opportunities presented by the gaming environment.

Variations of small-sided games in confined spaces (Fernández-Espínola, Abad Robles, & Giménez Fuentes-Guerra, 2020; Silva et al., 2023) and with modifiable rules remain pertinent. These games demand continuous exploration of execution methods. Field sizes and shapes in these games can change uninterrupted, encouraging technical and cognitive adaptation. Therefore, tasks prioritize playfulness over excessive structure and flexibility over rigidity.

Motor tasks that prompt athletes to gather crucial information, devise rapid solution hypotheses, and execute swift actions engage cognitive elements and stimulate executive functions continually. Essentially, these tasks should offer multiple solutions to ensure ongoing exploration of the environment and its constraints or affordances. Constraints can either inhibit or encourage behaviors by altering available relevant information in the performance environment: which movements are prompted and which can be executed?

Individual athletes exhibit variations in anatomical structure, physiological prerequisites, mobility, strength, and flexibility, influencing how movements are executed. Even within the same individual, executing the same movement may differ based on task goals or changing environments.

To simulate sports performance scenarios in learning environments, athletes should be challenged in unfamiliar or consistently changing contexts to avoid relying on familiar motor behaviors. Encouraging divergent action outputs, akin to personal creativity, can be achieved when individuals operate close to their maximal action capabilities (Bollimbala & James, 2023; Ueda et al., 2023). Conversely, in daily tasks, individuals tend to operate within secure spaces defined by their action capabilities to maintain adaptability to potential new demands (Harrison, Turvey, & Frank, 2016).

This transformative approach challenges the status quo by recognizing that learning environments should not be static entities but dynamic spaces that adapt to the evolving needs of young athletes. The dynamic ecological approach not only revitalizes traditional teaching methodologies but also opens avenues for innovation, creativity, and adaptability in the pursuit of motor skill acquisition. It signifies a paradigm shift in the role of educators, urging them to become architects of environments that facilitate exploration, problem-solving, and the continual development of adaptable motor behaviors. The journey towards effective sports learning spaces involves embracing variability, encouraging playfulness, and acknowledging the interplay between individuals and their ever-changing environments. Through this lens, motor and sports education can transcend its current boundaries, ushering in an era where the dynamism of learning spaces matches the dynamism required in sports performance.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In recent years, a profound transformation has been brewing within the realm of training young athletes, particularly in disciplines relying on open-skill sports. Conventional methodologies, entrenched in highly analytical task presentations, are now facing an evolutionary demand—a shift towards a dynamic ecological approach. This transformative approach seeks to immerse budding athletes into a tapestry of problem-solving scenarios, encapsulating them within a sphere of ever-fluid movements—a concept often phrased as "repeating without repeating."

At its core, this paradigm champions the intricate interplay between the environment, perception, and problem-solving capacities, catalyzing the cultivation of adaptable and transferable skills. Its essence lies in curating tasks that beckon exploration, coupled with the employment of teaching methods conducive to nurturing these skills. Physical education and sports instructors stand as pivotal catalysts in this transformative process. Their pursuit of content accentuating effective teaching methodologies propels the promotion of exploratory behaviors and the forging of innovative pathways of discovery.

This metamorphosis necessitates the adoption of diverse communication approaches and teaching methodologies, aligning the acquisition of motor skills and knowledge with non-linear methods—ones that resonate deeply with efficient and meaningful learning. These non-linear teaching paradigms, achieved through the introduction of task variability, manipulation of spatiotemporal constraints, and judicious utilization of feedback mechanisms, incubate dynamic relationships among individuals, their environment, and the activities they engage in.

The reverberations of this shift are not merely academic but possess profound policy implications in the domain of motor skill acquisition and the overarching approach to learning. It represents a challenge to entrenched, linear approaches to motor learning and skill acquisition, staunchly advocating for a dynamic ecological paradigm. This

calls for an emphasis on adaptability, flexibility, and the symbiotic interplay between individuals and their environment—a far cry from the rigidity of conventional teaching methodologies.

Undoubtedly, this paradigm shift carries significant implications for professionals—physical education instructors, coaches, trainers, and sports scientists alike. It heralds a clarion call for a transition towards evidence-based, universally applicable teaching methods that embrace the dynamism of the ecological approach. This necessitates a radical reimagining of learning environments, poised to harness affordances that foster adaptive motor behaviors—a clarion call for continuous learning experiences and the cultivation of flexible, adaptable learning environments that nurture the acquisition of motor and sports skills.

However, within the luminous promise of this transformative approach, it's imperative to acknowledge and confront its limitations. The research, while illuminating, might inadvertently narrow its focus, tethering its applicability to specific contexts or groups. Consequently, its findings might not uniformly resonate across diverse sports disciplines or age groups, potentially painting a partial picture of the broader landscape of skill acquisition.

Furthermore, the study might delve deeply into specific subsets of motor skills or teaching methods within sports education, omitting crucial facets essential for a holistic understanding. Methodologically, its tools—such as literature reviews or qualitative studies—might falter in capturing the intricate complexity inherent in motor learning. Limitations in quantitative data could impede a profound, comprehensive analysis.

Temporal constraints might render the study a snapshot frozen in time, potentially missing the pulse of recent advancements in motor learning and skill acquisition. Ingrained biases or presumptions within the study's framework could inadvertently tint its conclusions, reflecting researchers' perspectives or prevalent theories of the time.

Lastly, as transformative as the recommendations might be, practical application could stumble upon real-world hurdles. Resource availability, institutional constraints, or conflicting teaching methodologies might present formidable obstacles to seamlessly integrating these transformative suggestions into educational settings.

In conclusion, while this paradigm shift embodies a transformative wave sweeping through the domain of motor learning and skill acquisition, a mindful acknowledgment of these limitations becomes the compass navigating the way forward. These insights, albeit illuminating, demand careful scrutiny and a concerted effort to transcend their boundaries, unlocking a vista of possibilities for the future of sports education and skill acquisition.

REFERENCES

Avilés, C., Navia, J. A., Ruiz-Pérez, L.-M., & Zapatero-Ayuso, J. A. (2020). How enaction and ecological approaches can contribute to sports and skill learning. *Frontiers in Psychology, 11*, 523691. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.523691

Barron, A. B., Halina, M., & Klein, C. (2023). Transitions in cognitive evolution. *Proceedings Biological Sciences, 290*(2002), 20230671. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2023.0671

Behm, D. G., Alizadeh, S., Daneshjoo, A., & Konrad, A. (2023). Potential effects of dynamic stretching on injury incidence of athletes: A narrative review of risk factors. *Sports Medicine (Auckland, N.Z.), 53*(7), 1359–1373. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-023-01847-8

Bernstein, N. A. (1967). *The coordination and regulations of movements.* Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Bollimbala, A., & James, P. (2023). Impact of chronic physical activity on individuals' creativity. *Psychological Research*, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-023-01862-4

Bosch, F. (2010). *Strength training and coordination: An integrative approach.* Rotterdam, Netherlands: Uitgevers.

Brymer, E., & Schweitzer, R. D. (2022). Learning clinical skills: An ecological perspective. *Advances in Health Sciences Education, 27*(3), 691-707. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-022-10115-9

Cai, P., Liu, L., & Li, H. (2023). Dynamic and static stretching on hamstring flexibility and stiffness: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heliyon, 9*(8), e18795. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e18795

Chow, J. Y. (2013). Nonlinear learning underpinning pedagogy: Evidence, challenges, and implications. *Quest, 65*(4), 469–484. https://doi.org/10.1080/00336297. 2013.-807746

Chow, J. Y., Meerhoff, L. A., Choo, C. Z. Y., Button, C., & Tan, B. S. (2023). The effect of nonlinear pedagogy on the acquisition of game skills in a territorial game. *Frontiers in Psychology, 14*, 1077065. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1077065

Christensen, W., & Bicknell, K. (2022). Cognitive control, intentions, and problem solving in skill learning. *Synthese, 200*(6), 460. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11229-022-03920-7

Cowin, J., Nimphius, S., Fell, J., Culhane, P., & Schmidt, M. (2022). A proposed framework to describe movement variability within sporting tasks: A scoping review. *Sports Medicine-Open, 8*(1), 85. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-022-00473-4

Davids, K., Rothwell, M., Hydes, S., Robinson, T., & Davids, C. (2023). Enriching athlete-environment interactions in youth sport: The role of a department of methodology. *Children Basel, Switzerland, 10*(4), 752. https://doi.org/10.3390/children10040752

Di Domenico, F., D'Isanto, T., Esposito, G., Aliberti, S., & Raiola, G. (2023). Exploring the influence of cognitive and ecological dynamics approaches on countermovement jumping enhancement: A comparative training study. *Journal of Functional Morphology and Kinesiology, 8*(3), 133. https://doi.org/10.3390/jfmk8030133

Espoz-Lazo, S., Farías-Valenzuela, C., Hinojosa-Torres, C., Giakoni-Ramirez, F., Del Val-Martín, P., Duclos-Bastías, D., & Valdivia-Moral, P. (2023). Activating specific handball's defensive motor behaviors in young female players: A non-linear approach. *Children, 10*(3), 469. https://doi.org/10.3390/children10030469

Feng, X., Zhang, Z., Jin, T., & Shi, P. (2023). Effects of open and closed skill exercise interventions on executive function in typical children: A meta-analysis. *BMC Psychology, 11*(1), 420. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-023-01317-w

Fernández-Espínola, C., Abad Robles, M. T., & Giménez Fuentes-Guerra, F. J. (2020). Small-sided games as a methodological resource for team sports teaching: A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17*(6), 1884. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17061884

Fievez, F., Derosiere, G., Verbruggen, F., & Duque, J. (2022). Post-error slowing reflects the joint impact of adaptive and maladaptive processes during decision making. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 16*, 864590. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2022.864590

Ginsburg, S., & Jablonka, E. (2021). Evolutionary transitions in learning and cognition. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences, 376*(1821), 20190766. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0766

Gottwald, V., Davies, M., & Owen, R. (2023). Every story has two sides: Evaluating information processing and ecological dynamics perspectives of focus of attention in skill acquisition. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living, 5*, 1176635. https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2023.1176635

Harrison, H. S., Turvey, M. T., & Frank, T. D. (2016). Affordance-based perception-action dynamics: A model of visually guided braking. *Psychological Review, 123*(3), 305–323. https://doi.org/10.1037/rev0000029

Heilmann, F., Weinberg, H., & Wollny, R. (2022). The impact of practicing open-vs. closed-skill sports on executive functions—A meta-analytic and systematic review with a focus on characteristics of sports. *Brain Sciences, 12*(8), 1071. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci12081071

Immonen, T., Brymer, E., Davids, K., & Jaakkola, T. (2022). An ecological dynamics approach to understanding human-environment interactions in the adventure sport context—implications for research and practice. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19*(6), 3691. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202201.0210.v1

Jayanthi, N., Schley, S., Cumming, S. P., Myer, G. D., Saffel, H., Hartwig, T., & Gabbett, T. J. (2022). Developmental training model for the sport specialized youth athlete: A dynamic strategy for individualizing load-response during maturation. *Sports Health, 14*(1), 142–153. https://doi.org/10.1177/19417381211056088

Jeon, H., & Jun, S. (2021). Outdoor playground design criteria development for early childhood development: A delphi study from the perspective of fundamental movement skills and perceptual-motor skills. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18*(8), 4159. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18084159

Kay, A. D., & Blazevich, A. J. (2012). Effect of acute static stretch on maximal muscle performance: A systematic review. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 44*(1), 154–164. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e318225cb27

Lee, M. C., Chow, J. Y., Komar, J., Tan, C. W., & Button, C. (2014). Nonlinear pedagogy: An effective approach to cater for individual differences in learning a sports skill. *PloS One, 9*(8), e104744. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0104744

Lindsay, R., Spittle, S., & Spittle, M. (2023). Skill adaption in sport and movement: Practice design considerations for 360° VR. *Frontiers in Psychology, 14*, 1124530. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1124530

Natsoulas, T. (1989). The ecological approach to perception: The place of perceptual content. *The American Journal of Psychology, 102*(4), 443–476.

Rebar, A. L., Williams, R., Short, C. E., Plotnikoff, R., Duncan, M. J., Mummery, K., . . . Vandelanotte, C. (2023). The impact of action plans on habit and intention strength for physical activity in a web-based intervention: Is it the thought that counts? *Psychology & Health*, 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1080/08870446.2023.2241777

Renshaw, I., Davids, K., O'Sullivan, M., Maloney, M. A., Crowther, R., & McCosker, C. (2022). An ecological dynamics approach to motor learning in practice: Reframing the learning and performing relationship in high performance sport. *Asian Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 2*(1), 18-26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajsep.2022.04.003

Ribas, J., Hernández-Moreno, J., Díaz-Díaz, R., Borges-Hernández, P., Ruiz-Omeñaca, J. V., & Jaqueira, A. R. (2023). How to understand sports and traditional games and how to apply it to physical education on the "goal of game". *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living, 5*, 1123340. https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2023.1123340

Salters, D., & Scharoun Benson, S. M. (2022). Perceptions and use of teaching strategies for fundamental movement skills in primary school physical education programs. *Children, 9*(2), 226. https://doi.org/10.3390/children9020226

Schöllhorn, W. I., Rizzi, N., Slapšinskaitė-Dackevičienė, A., & Leite, N. (2022). Always pay attention to which model of motor learning you are using. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19*(2), 711. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19020711

Seifert, L., Hacques, G., & Komar, J. (2022). The ecological dynamics framework: An innovative approach to performance in extreme environments: A narrative review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19*(5), 2753. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19052753

Shadiev, R., & Wang, X. (2022). A review of research on technology-supported language learning and 21st century skills. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, 897689. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.897689

Silva, A., Ferraz, R., Branquinho, L., Dias, T., Teixeira, J. E., & Marinho, D. A. (2023). Effects of applying a multivariate training program on physical fitness and tactical performance in a team sport taught during physical education classes. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living, 5*, 1291342. https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2023.1291342

Stodden, D., Lakes, K. D., Côté, J., Aadland, E., Brian, A., Draper, C. E., & Pesce, C. (2021). Exploration: An overarching focus for holistic development. *Brazilian Journal of Motor Behavior, 15*(5), 301-320. https://doi.org/10.20338/bjmb.v15i5.254

Thonhauser, G. (2022). Being a team player: Approaching team coordination in sports in dialog with ecological and praxeological approaches. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, 1026859. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1026859

Thornhill-Miller, B., Camarda, A., Mercier, M., Burkhardt, J.-M., Morisseau, T., Bourgeois-Bougrine, S., . . . Mourey, F. (2023). Creativity, critical thinking, communication, and collaboration: Assessment, certification, and promotion of 21st

century skills for the future of work and education. *Journal of Intelligence, 11*(3), 54. https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence11030054

Tortella, P., Haga, M., Lorås, H., Fumagalli, G. F., & Sigmundsson, H. (2022). Effects of free play and partly structured playground activity on motor competence in preschool children: A pragmatic comparison trial. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19*(13), 7652. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19137652

Ueda, L. S. C., Milistetd, M., Praça, G. M., da Maia, G. S. G., da Silva, J. F., & Borges, P. H. (2023). Impact of the number of players on the emergence of creative movements in small-sided soccer games: A systematic review emphasizing deliberate practice. *Frontiers in Psychology, 14*, 1253654. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1253654

Vásquez-Rosati, A., Montefusco-Siegmund, R., López, V., & Cosmelli, D. (2019). Emotional influences on cognitive flexibility depend on individual differences: A combined micro-phenomenological and psychophysiological study. *Frontiers in Psychology, 10*, 1138. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01138

Vaughan, J., Mallett, C. J., Potrac, P., López-Felip, M. A., & Davids, K. (2021). Football, culture, skill development and sport coaching: Extending ecological approaches in athlete development using the skilled intentionality framework. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*, 2759. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.635420

Vaughan, J., Mallett, C. J., Potrac, P., Woods, C., O'Sullivan, M., & Davids, K. (2022). Social and cultural constraints on football player development in stockholm: Influencing skill, learning, and wellbeing. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living, 4*, 832111. https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2022.832111

Wang, C. H., Chang, C. C., Liang, Y. M., Shih, C. M., Chiu, W. S., Tseng, P., . . . Juan, C. H. (2013). Open vs. closed skill sports and the modulation of inhibitory control. *PloS One, 8*(2), e55773. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0055773

Withagen, R., & van der Kamp, J. (2010). Towards a new ecological conception of perceptual information: Lessons from a developmental systems perspective. *Human Movement Science, 29*(1), 149–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2009.09.003

Zaragas, H., Fragkomichelaki, O., Geitona, M., Sofologi, M., Papantoniou, G., Sarris, D., . . . Papadimitropoulou, P. (2023). The effects of physical activity in children and adolescents with developmental coordination disorder. *Neurology International, 15*(3), 804–820. https://doi.org/10.3390/neurolint15030051